UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

 $11\parallel$

13

20

21

23

VONCEL TATE,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:19-cr-00111-APG-NJK

Order Denying Motion for Sentencing Transcripts

[ECF No. 63]

Defendant Voncel Tate filed a pro se motion for a copy of his sentencing hearing transcript to help him prepare for an appeal. ECF No. 63. But there is no appeal pending in this case and the deadline to file an appeal expired nearly two years ago. See Fed. R. App. Pro. 4(b)(1)(A) (In a criminal case, a notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days of entry of 12|| judgment.).

"[T]he court may grant a motion for transcripts at government expense only if 'the trial 14 judge or circuit judge certifies that the appeal is not frivolous' and the appeal presents a 15 "substantial question." Dela Cruz v. Brennan, No. 19-cv-01140-DMR, 2023 WL 4550942, at *1 16 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2023) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 735(f) and citing to Henderson v. United States, 17 | 734 F.2d 483, 484 (9th Cir. 1984)). Tate has not explained the basis for his appeal, and the deadline to appeal expired long ago. Thus, I will not certify that his proposed appeal is not frivolous. Tate's motion for a copy of the transcript (ECF No. 63) is denied. However, Tate is free to seek that relief from the court of appeals.

DATED this 16th day of April, 2025.

22

ANDREW P. GORDON CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE